EXHIBIT N

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

. Case No. 09-50026-mg

IN RE: Chapter 11

•

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, . (Jointly administered)

et al., f/k/a GENERAL

MOTORS CORP., et al, . One Bowling Green . New York, NY 10004

Debtors. .

. Tuesday, October 3, 2017

..... 10:02 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING REGARDING "PLAINTIFFS' ENFORCEMENT MOTION" AND THE "FOREBEARANCE AGREEMENT APPROVAL MOTION." (CC: DOC NOS. 14092, 14093, 14095, 14114, 14115, 14117)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: King & Spalding LLP

By: ARTHUR STEINBERG, ESQ.
SCOTT DAVIDSON, ESQ.
DAVID M. FINE, ESQ.
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-4003

(212) 556-2158

For the Ignition Switch plaintiffs and certain non-Ignition Switch plaintiffs:

Brown Rudnick LLP

By: EDWARD S. WEISFELNER, ESQ.

HOWARD S. STEEL, ESQ.

7 Times Square

New York, New York 10036

(212) 209-4917

Audio Operator: Timothy Wilson, ECRO

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC

10110 Youngwood Lane Fishers, IN 46038 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES (Continued):

For Personal Injury

Accident Plaintiffs: Goodwin Procter LLP

By: WILLIAM P. WEINTRAUB, ESQ.

The New York Times Building

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10018-1405

(212) 813-8839

For Participating

Unitholders:

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

By: DANIEL GOLDEN, ESQ. DEBORAH NEWMAN, ESQ.

NAOMI MOSS, ESQ. One Bryant Park

New York, NY 10036-6745

(212) 872-1000

For Certain Personal

Injury/Death Plaintiffs: Hilliard Munoz & Gonzales LLP

By: ROBERT HILLIARD, ESQ.

719 South Shoreline Boulevard #500

Corpus Christi, Texas 78401

(361) 882-1612

For JPMorgan Chase

Bank, N.A.:

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz By: HAROLD S. NOVIKOFF, ESQ.

51 West 52nd Street

New York, NY 10019-6150

(212) 403-1000

For New GM:

Quinn Emanuel

By: SUSHEEL KIRPALANI, ESQ.

JAMES C. TECCE, ESQ. JULIA BESKIN, ESQ.

51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor

New York, NY 10010

(212) 849-7199

For Motors Liquidation

GUC Trust:

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP By: MITCHELL KARLAN, ESQ. DAVID M. FELDMAN, ESQ.

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166-0193

(212) 351-4000

Plaintiffs:

For the Ignition Switch Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein By: ELIZABETH J. CABRASER, ESQ. 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339

3

1 and Your Honor and Ms. Cabraser got into a little bit of a 2 discussion about whether there's a chicken and egg issue, and $3 \parallel \text{Your Honor said I'm not sure that there is one.}$ She said there $4 \parallel$ is one. There's certainly not a chicken and egg issue because 5 those have no right answer.

What we have here is really a cart before the horse situation the way I see it because what Mr. Weisfelner admitted $8\parallel$ -- and again, in his candor, which I really did appreciate -to the Court is that this settlement -- purported settlement agreement or purported deal with the GUC Trust was the product of what? He said -- and I wrote it down -- "the collective imagination of these very bright innovative plaintiffs' counsel." I don't begrudge them for that. It is exactly the case, but that doesn't make it --

THE COURT: That's how most complicated cases get 16 settled --

MR. KIRPALANI: That is true.

THE COURT: -- Mr. Kirpalani.

MR. KIRPALANI: That is true. And but for the plaintiffs' counsel to take the position that, well, New GM, they're not a party in interest, they shouldn't have any role here, this is a purported deal between the plaintiffs and the GUC Trust, I would --

THE COURT: They may be right. We're going to have 25 \parallel briefing on that.

6

7

9

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

24

25

MR. KIRPALANI: Yes. And I'm happy to brief that. 2 The way Mr. Weisfelner said that we're not a third-party beneficiary, and I hope that's the rubric that the Court adopts, because if that's the rubric, then certainly being the third-party payor should be an equal balance in the scales of justice.

THE COURT: New GM will be the beneficiary in my view if the result, for hypothetically, is that economic loss claims are resolved as part of the bankruptcy through the allowance of late claims. And the economic loss claims other than truly independent claims can't go forward in the district court.

I remember seeing some time ago a letter brief 13 probably from Kirkland that took the position with Judge Furman that that would be the result of allowing late claims in the bankruptcy, that if the basis for -- putting aside independent claims -- the basis for the successor liability claims was they were denied due process. They're not subject to the free and 18 clear sale provision.

And, you know, whether there are successful liability claims is a matter of applicable non-bankruptcy law, but I remember reading that in a letter. So I'm -- obviously, that's probably not going to be my call at the end of the day anyway. It's probably Judge Furman's, but that's why I asked. strike me when I read these letters, and I asked Ms. Cabraser about it.

1

3

7

8

9

14

19

20

22

23

24

25

If late claims are allowed for both personal 2 | injury/wrongful death claims -- pre-closing personal injury/wrongful death claims and economic loss claims, if they're allowed in the Bankruptcy Court, you know, it pays in 5 bankruptcy dollars. And, you know, it may be that there's a substantial dispute in the estimation of what amount they ought to be estimated at, putting that aside for a moment.

But there are some real potential benefits to New GM. It'll decide what position you're taking in this Court about it. But that is something that struck me when I first read --I don't know how many months ago, Mr. Steinberg, that letter --I don't know -- I guess it must have been Kirkland because it 13 went to Judge Furman.

But I think I even commented about it at a prior 15∥ hearing that, you know, it was like GM -- New GM doth protest too loudly in this court when they're telling Judge Furman, oh, by the way, if there are late claims in the bankruptcy case, 18 the claims before you, Judge Furman, are gone. But we'll see.

MR. KIRPALANI: Yeah. And I agree with that, Your Honor. But the point is, whether we benefit or we suffer, we're economically interested in the outcome and we're a party in this --

THE COURT: Oh, I understand the economic interest. Whether that gives you legal standing or not is a different issue.

MR. KIRPALANI: Well --

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

THE COURT: But let's talk about the discovery.

MR. KIRPALANI: Sure.

THE COURT: The briefing is going to be -- we're 5 going to have briefing.

MR. KIRPALANI: Sure. Okay. With respect to the discovery, Your Honor, whether or not -- I mean, I understood Your Honor's tentative ruling at the outset not to consider the competing motions at the same time, and obviously we respect Your Honor's ruling on that. In terms of why some of their -maybe some of those issues leaking into the record, however, is 12 \parallel that it does go to what was happening in real time.

I understand that some parties want the Court to just see kind of one-half of the conversations that were going on or one-half of the emails.

THE COURT: But Mr. Steinberg told me that, A, they were cut out of the discussions, that he had a two-hour meeting, and in the course of the two-hour meeting, Wilmington Trust was persuaded not to go forward with the settlement. And Mr. Weisfelner and everyone on the other side of the courtroom is saying what we're saying, Judge, is before that two-hour meeting happened, there was a binding settlement agreement.

MR. KIRPALANI: Right. But when Mr. Steinberg started that meeting, the first question out of his mouth was, "Do you have a binding agreement or not?" And it was only on

CERTIFICATION

I, Ilene Watson, court-approved transcriber, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

0 ILENE WATSON, AAERT NO. 447

DATE: October 3, 2017

ACCESS TRANSCRIPTS, LLC

